CONTRACT REQUEST FORM (CRF)

CEC-94 (Revised 5/11)





New Contract	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Amenament to Ex	isting Contract: 60	0-10-0	<u>04</u> An	nenament Number: 2		
Division			Contract Manager:		Phone	CM Training Date		
600 Fuels and Transportation Division			Michael Poe 4			809 2/3/05		
Contractor's Legal Name)				Fed	leral ID Number		
ICF Consulting, Inc.			52-	52-0893615				
Title of Project								
Manfacturers' Vehicle Attri	ibute Survey	and Analyses						
Term Start Date			End Date			Amount		
New/Original Contract			06 / 30 / 12			\$ 123,099		
Line up the Amendment informat	ion as best as p							
Amendment #		End Date (mm/dd/				nt		
2			\$-18					
Business Meeting Inforn	nation							
Proposed Business Meetin	ng Date 10/5	/11	☐ Consent			□ Discussion		
Business Meeting Present	ter Laui	a Lawson		Tin	ne Needed:	ed: 5 minutes		
Agenda Item Subject and								
Possible approval of Amer								
emission vehicle (LEV) 3 f	orecasts. As	a result of the sh	ift in staff hours, tl	he agre	ement amo	unt has decreased by		
\$18.								
Business Meeting appro required in all cases.	val is not re	quired for the fol	lowing types of o	contrac	ts: Executive	Director's signature is		
☐ Contracts less than \$1	Contracts less than \$10k (Policy Committee's signature is also required)							
Amendment for a no-cost time extension. Must be first extension, less than one year and original contract less than \$100k.								
Contracts less than \$2	25k for Expert	t Witness in Energ	y Facility licensing	g cases	and amend	lments.		

Purpose of Contract or Purpose of Amendment, if applicable

The purpose of this amendment is to allow more analyst time than originally envisioned for conducting additional review of the expanded scenario results for this contract. Currently, the contract requires results for up to 12 forecasting scenarios and all the scenarios involve some changes to the model. Recently, the end term of this contract was extended to June 30, 2012, as allowed per Amendment #1, to ensure the Energy Commission effectively incorporate the California Air Resources Board's (ARB) Low-Emission Vehicle (LEV) 3 rulemaking into the Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) review process. The rulemaking is expected to be adopted by ARB in November 2011. However, due to a combination of unanticipated contractor's staff time requirements and the expected incorporation of ARB's rulemaking, more analyst time is required than originally envisioned. In addition, to avoid errors from incomplete program debugging, the contractor is having analysts go through the outputs for each scenario and convert them to Dynasim format. Therefore, revising the staff hours in the contract for analytical purposes will ensure that LEV 3 forecasts are considered in California's LEV policy process.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CONTRACT REQUEST FORM (CRF)



	Safe Of Cultural	
NC	TABLET COMMISSION	

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Compliance 1. Is Contract considered a "Project" under CEQA?									
☐ Yes: ski Explain why Contract wil	p to question 2 contract is not I not cause dire	considere	ed a "Project" al change in t	: he envi	ronment or a ı	reasona	bly fores		physical
	ne environment nd a final report		contract invo	lves a s	urvey of vehic	cle manu	ufacturer	s, a forecast of	vehicle
2. If contract is	considered a "	Project" u							
	ract IS exempt. utory Exemption			,D					
	number:	II. LISUFF	C and/or CC	,IX					
	egorical Exemp	tion. List	CCR						
	number: nmon Sense Ex	omotion	14 CCD 150	61 (b) (2)				
	eason why cont								
	act IS NOT exe their division ar							gy Commission	attorney
		id the Oith	ig Office regi	arding c	possible illiti	ai Olday	/-		
Budgets Inform Contract Amo		Bro	eakdown by F	:Υ		F	-unding s	Sources	
Funding Source	Amount	FY		Approve	d Funding Sa		FY		Amount
Funding Source ARFVTF	\$ Amount	FI	Amount \$? No	Funding So		ГІ	Budget List No.	Amount \$
ECAA	\$		\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
State- ERPA	\$		\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
Federal	\$		\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
PIER - E	\$		\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
PIER - NG	\$		\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
Reimbursement			\$	No	Funding Sou				\$
RRTF	\$ \$		\$ \$	No	Funding Sou	urce			\$ \$
Other: TOTA		TOTAL:		No	Other:			TOTAL:	т
Reimbursement		TOTAL.	φυ		Federal Agr	eement	#.	TOTAL.	Ф 0
		\ ff :							
Contractor's A Name:	Ayisha Hatchei				ontractor's P ame:		vianager Hedman		
Address:	1725 Eye Stree		ite 1000		ddress:			t NW, Suite 10	00
	,		1000						00
City, State, Zip:		20006				/, State, Zip: Washington DC 20006			
Phone/ Fax:	703-934-3702				none/ Fax:				
E-Mail:	ahatcher@icfi.d	com		Į E-	Mail:	bhedm	an@icfi.	com	
Contractor Is									
_	npany (including n								
	jency (including U t Entity (i.e. city, c			oir/wotor	inches district is	int nower	outhorition	university from er	other etetal
		county, reaer	ai government,	air/water/	scriooi district, joi	nt power a	autnorities,	, university from ar	notner state)
Selection Proc		Callaba	4:a.a. #.		π «ε D:-1-			D:40	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Select Type titive Bid (Attach				# of Bids:		Low	Bid? L No	o ∐ Yes
	Select Exem	otion (see	instructions)						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CONTRACT REQUEST FORM (CRF) CEC-94 (Revised 5/11)





Civil Service Considerations
 Civil Service Considerations Not Applicable (Contract is with a CA State Entity or a membership/co-sponsorship) Public Resources Code 25620, et seq., authorizes the Commission to contract for the subject work. (PIER) The Services Contracted: are not available within civil service cannot be performed satisfactorily by civil service employees are of such a highly specialized or technical nature that the expert knowledge, expertise, and ability are not available through the civil service system. The Services are of such an: urgent temporary, or occasional nature that the delay to implement under civil service would frustrate their very purpose. Justification:
In order to satisfactorily perform the work to be done under this contract, personnel must have specific expertise in
forecasting vehicle attributes. As a result, this work could not be properly performed by civil service employees.
Payment Method
 A. Reimbursement in arrears based on: ☑ Itemized Monthly ☐ Itemized Quarterly ☐ Flat Rate ☐ One-time ☐ B. Advanced Payment ☐ C. Other, explain:
Retention
1. Is contract subject to retention? □ No □ Yes If Yes, Do you plan to release retention prior to contract termination? □ No □ Yes
Justification of Rates Rates are determined according to the CMAS schedule. The rates and overall services of this contract are similar to a previous contract to perform comparable work.
Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise Program (DVBE)
1. ☐ Not Applicable 2. ☐ Meets DVBE Requirements DVBE Amount:\$ DVBE %: ☐ Contractor is Certified DVBE Contractor is Subcontracting with a DVBE: 3. ☐ Contractor selected through CMAS or MSA with no DVBE participation. 4. ☐ Requesting DVBE Exemption (attach CEC 95)
Is Contractor a certified Small Business (SB), Micro Business (MB) or DVBE?
If yes, check appropriate box:
Is Contractor subcontracting any services? □ No ☑ Yes If yes, give company name and identify if they are a Small Business (SB), Micro Business (MB) and/or DVBE: K.G. Duleep ☑ No ☐ SB ☐ MB ☐ DVBE □ No ☐ SB ☐ MB ☐ DVBE □ No ☐ SB ☐ MB ☐ DVBE
Miscellaneous Contract Information
1. Will there be Work Authorizations? 2. Is the Contractor providing confidential information? 3. Is the contractor going to purchase equipment? 4. Check frequency of progress reports Monthly Quarterly Other
5. Will a final report be required? 6. Is the contract, with amendments, longer than a year? If yes, why? To allow the CEC to effectively incorporate ARB's rulemaking on zero emission vehicles into the IEPR process.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CONTRACT REQUEST FORM (CRF) CEC-94 (Revised 5/11)





1. Scope of Work,	Attach as Exhib	oit A.		⊠ N/A	Attached
2. Budget Detail, A	ttach as Exhibi	t B.		☐ N/A	Attached
3. CEC 96, NCB R	equest			⊠ N/A	☐ Attached
4. CEC 30, Survey	of Prior Work	⊠ N/A	☐ Attached		
5. CEC 95, DVBE	Exemption Rec	⊠ N/A	☐ Attached		
Draft CEQA Not	ice of Exemption	⊠ N/A	☐ Attached		
7. Resumes		⊠ N/A	☐ Attached		
8. CEC 105, Quest	tionnaire for Ide	entifying Conflicts			
9. CEC 106, IT Component Reporting Form					
Contract Manager	Date	Office Manager	Date	Deputy Director	Date
_		Office Manager when contract approval is delegate See Business Meeting Info	ed to the Exec	cutive Office and not approved	